

APPELLATE COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DOCKET NO: AC 39881

DOCKET NO: CV 16 6032526

AUSTIN HAUGHWOUT

V.

LAURA TORDENTI, ET AL.

: SUPERIOR COURT

: J.D. OF NEW BRITAIN

: AT NEW BRITAIN

: DECEMBER 15, 2016

235 CAPITOL AVENUE
HARTFORD, CT 06106

2016 DEC 15 PM 1 42

CHIEF CLERK
SUPREME COURT
APPELLATE COURT

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

Pursuant to Practice Book § 63-4, the plaintiff-appellant submits the following preliminary statement of the issues he intends to raise on appeal:

1. Did the trial court err in determining that the disciplinary procedures employed by Central Connecticut State University and its actors, specifically the lack of sufficient notice, did not deprive plaintiff of Due Process under the law of both state and federal Constitutions?
2. Did the trial court err in finding that Central Connecticut State University or its actors did not breach contractual provisions of the Student Code of Conduct and Statement of Disciplinary Procedures by failing to provide the plaintiff sufficient notice of the allegations and not adhering to the plain text of such contractual provisions?
3. Did the trial court err in failing to consider whether the provisions of the Student Code of Conduct under which the plaintiff was charged were unconstitutionally vague and/or overbroad in violation of the state and federal constitutions?
4. Did the trial court err in determining that the alleged activities and speech were not protected by the free speech and expression provisions of the state and federal constitutions under the guise of finding them to be "true threats?"
5. Did the trial court erroneously rely on a Central Connecticut State University administrator's personal interpretation of the plaintiff's words to third parties, rather than on what the plaintiff actually said?
6. Did the trial court err in determining that a state actor need not afford the full opportunity to prepare and present a defense, including access to potential witness(es), if the accused does not explicitly request such opportunity?

THE PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT
AUSTIN HAUGHWOUT

By: Austin Haughwout 12/15/2016

Austin Haughwout
7 Egypt Lane
Clinton, CT 06413
(617) 817-5353

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing was mailed, postage prepaid, or electronically transmitted on the date of this pleading, to the following parties of record:

Ralph E. Urban II
Attorney General
P.O. Box 120
55 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06141
Tel: (860) 808-5210
Fax: (860) 808-5385
E-Mail: ralph.urban@ct.gov

Jon L. Schoenhorn
108 Oak Street
Hartford, CT 06106
Tel: (860) 278-3500
Fax: (860) 278-6393
E-Mail: jon@schoenhorn.com

Austin Haughwout 12/15/2016

Austin Haughwout, Pro Se

*The filed paper has been redacted or does not contain
any names or other personal identifying information that
is prohibited from disclosure by rule, statute, court order, Page | 2 of 2
or case law. Austin Haughwout*